All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint that ORACLE discriminates against qualified "['non-Asian'] applicants in favor of Asian applicants, particularly Asian Indians based upon race for positions in the ["PT1"] job group and Product Development line of business (or job function) at Oracle Redwood Shores." This request includes but is not limited to all DOCUMENTS that identify the "non-Asians" that OFCCP alleges to be victims of discrimination.

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. OFCCP further objects to the phrase "identify" as vague and ambiguous.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to YOUR determination of which employees are "qualified," as alleged in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint, including but not limited to DOCUMENTS RELATED to the methodology used to make such a determination.

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome.

OFCCP objects to the phrase "methodology" as vague and ambiguous and overbroad and unduly burdensome.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to YOUR determination of which employees are

"Asians," as alleged in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint.

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to YOUR determination of which employees are "Asian Indians," as alleged in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint.

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint that ORACLE "hired 82% Asians into the PT1 job group ... exceeding the 73% of Asians who applied and resulting in statistically significant adverse impact against non-Asian

applicants." This request includes but is not limited to DOCUMENTS showing underlying statistical data, methodologies, and actual computations used to support this contention.

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to the entirety of this request as it misquotes from Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint.

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. OFCCP objects to the phrase "methodologies" as vague and ambiguous and overbroad and unduly burdensome.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint that ORACLE "utilize[s] a recruiting and hiring process that discriminates against qualified African American, Hispanic and White ... applicants in favor of Asian applicants."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Paragraph 10 of the Amended

Complaint that "comparisons between available applicants from national labor data and Oracle's hires show gross and statistically significant disparities in the hiring of Asians versus non
Asians."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint that "Oracle's applicant pool was heavily over-represented by Asian applicants as the result of Oracle's recruiting and hiring practices."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation from Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint that ORACLE "over-select[ed] Asian applicants, particularly Asian Indians, from its actual applicant pool."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation from Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint that ORACLE used "hiring strategies such as targeted recruitment."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation from Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint that ORACLE used "referral bonuses that encouraged its heavily Asian workforce to recruit other Asians."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation from Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint that Oracle has a "reputation for favoring Asians."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process

privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60:

All CASE FILES RELATED to the allegations described in Paragraph 10 of the

Amended Complaint.

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 61:

All CASE ANALYSES RELATED to the allegations described in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint.

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62:

All interview notes, summaries and memoranda for each interview YOU conducted that RELATES to the allegations described in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint.

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "relates to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. OFCCP further objects to the phrase "summaries" as vague and ambiguous.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 63:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and any THIRD PARTIES, including possible CLASS MEMBERS or their attorneys, RELATED to the allegations described in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint.

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "communications" as overbroad and unduly burdensome.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. OFCCP further objects to the entirety of this request as overbroad and unduly burdensome.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 64:

All statistical studies and analyses RELATED to the allegations described in Paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint, including any that YOU did not adopt or endorse and any that resulted in calculations different from those presented in that paragraph. This request seeks all responsive DOCUMENTS in both final and draft form.

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. OFCCP further objects to the phrases "adopt" and "endorse" as vague and ambiguous. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 65:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Paragraph 12 of the Amended

Complaint that YOU requested "various records" that ORACLE "refused to produce," including

but not limited to all requests YOU contend YOU made and all responses or explanations provided by ORACLE.

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome.

OFCCP further objects on the grounds that this request is unduly burdensome, duplicative, and unnecessary, as Oracle is asking OFCCP to produce back to it responses or explanations previously provided by Oracle itself and equally within Oracle's possession or control.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 66:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Paragraph 13 of the Amended

Complaint that YOU requested "material demonstrating whether or not [Oracle] had performed
an in-depth review of its compensation practice."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 67:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Paragraph 13 of the Amended

Complaint that "Oracle refused to produce to the agency any material demonstrating whether or

not it had performed an in-depth review of its compensation practice."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to the entirety of this Interrogatory as it contains only a partial quote and may not reflect the intended allegations set forth in the Amended Complaint.

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 68:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint that "Oracle failed to provide any evidence that it conducted an adverse impact analysis."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to the entirety of this Interrogatory as it contains only a partial quote and may not reflect the intended allegations set forth in the Amended Complaint.

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 69:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Paragraph 14 of the Amended

Complaint that "Oracle defaulted on its obligations."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to the entirety of this Interrogatory as it contains only a partial quote and may not reflect the intended allegations set forth in the Amended Complaint.

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 70:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to any objections and inquiries made by ORACLE in connection with the conciliation process, including but not limited to any responsive correspondence, actions, or other responses by YOU.

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because

any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome.

OFCCP further objects on the grounds that this request is unduly burdensome, duplicative, and unnecessary, as Oracle is asking OFCCP to produce back to it objections and inquiries made by Oracle and equally within Oracle's possession or control.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 71:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Paragraph 17 of the Amended Complaint that YOU "attempted to conciliate with Oracle."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 72:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Paragraph 18 of the Amended

Complaint that YOUR "conciliation ... efforts were unsuccessful."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 73:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Paragraph 19 of the Amended

Complaint that "Oracle will continue to violate its obligations under the Executive Order and the regulations issued pursuant thereto."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to the entirety of this Interrogatory as it contains only a partial quote and may not reflect the intended allegations set forth in the Amended Complaint.

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 74:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Violation 2 of the NOV that YOU "analyzed Oracle's compensation system and, through regression and other analysis, found statistically significant pay disparities based upon sex after controlling for legitimate explanatory factors." This request includes but is not limited to, DOCUMENTS that RELATE to the "other analysis" (e.g., final versions, work papers and drafts) and DOCUMENTS RELATED to any controls YOU employed to account for "legitimate explanatory factors."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to the entirety of this Interrogatory as it contains only a partial quote and may not reflect the intended allegations set forth in the NOV.

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 75:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Violation 3 of the NOV that YOU "analyzed Oracle's compensation system and, through regression and other analysis, found statistically significant pay disparities based upon sex after controlling for legitimate explanatory factors." This request includes but is not limited to, DOCUMENTS that RELATE to the "other analysis" (e.g., final versions, work papers and drafts) and DOCUMENTS RELATED to any controls YOU employed to account for "legitimate explanatory factors."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to the entirety of this request as it does not reflect what OFCCP has alleged in Violation 3 of the NOV.

OFCCP objects to the entirety of this Interrogatory as it contains only a partial quote and may not reflect the intended allegations set forth in the NOV.

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 76:

All DOCUMENTS RELATED to the allegation in Violation 4 of the NOV that YOU "analyzed Oracle's compensation system and, through regression and other analysis, found statistically significant pay disparities based upon sex after controlling for legitimate explanatory factors." This request includes but is not limited to, DOCUMENTS that RELATE to the "other analysis" (e.g., final versions, work papers and drafts) and DOCUMENTS that RELATED to any controls YOU employed to account for "legitimate explanatory factors."

RESPONSE:

OFCCP objects to the entirety of this request as it does not reflect what OFCCP has alleged in Violation 4 of the NOV.

OFCCP objects to the entirety of this Interrogatory as it contains only a partial quote and may not reflect the intended allegations set forth in the NOV.

OFCCP objects to this Request to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine, the government's deliberative process privilege, the governmental privilege for investigative files and techniques, the government's informant privilege, the trial preparation privilege described in Rule 26(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or exemption provided by the Rules of Practice, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or Evidence, or the common law.

OFCCP further objects on relevance grounds. Materials reflecting OFCCP's internal deliberations and processes in its investigation are not relevant because they will not show, one way or the other, whether Oracle violated its equal opportunity obligations, including through engaging in systemic compensation and hiring discrimination.

OFCCP additionally objects to this Request to the extent it seeks documents that were created after March 11, 2016, which was the date the Notice of Violation was issued, because any such documents were created in anticipation of litigation and are protected by the work product doctrine, trial preparation privilege, and/or attorney-client privilege.

OFCCP further objects to the phrase "related to" as overbroad and unduly burdensome. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, OFCCP will produce all non-privileged documents contained in OFCCP's investigative file for Oracle Redwood Shores (OFCCP Case No.: R00192699).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 77: